

Improving Service & Cash Flow Through Inventory Management

NEMOA

March 25, 2005

George Mollo

www.gjmassoc.com

Agenda

- Repeated Experience Identifies Three Main Areas yields Dramatic Positive Results
 - Shared Responsibility
 - Planning vs. Forecasting
 - Inventory Spending Budget (requires dedicated effort)

Shared Responsibility

- Inventory is one of the largest assets (or liabilities)
- Inventory Control usually treated as second class citizen
 - Yet, Inventory control touches EVERY department
- Responsibility – usually left to one department

Inventory Impact

Properly controlled inventory can move substantial profit \$\$ to (or from) bottom line

Examples:

- \$25m business - every 1% increase in final fill increases \$250k to bottom line
(which also reduces potential overstock; Since most other costs except variable have already been spent.)
- Assuming a 5% EBITDA --- a 1% increase in final fill could increase profit by 10%

TIP # 1

- Recognize Inventory Control as Critical Component of the Organization
- Share Responsibility
 - e.g. – Make buyers and Inventory jointly responsible for fill rates AND overstock
 - Physically situate inventory control to facilitate communication with buyers, marketing & creative departments

Planning

- How many PLAN their catalogs over a “horizon” (season) of time?
- Most identify forecasting as biggest Bang for Buck

DISAGREE !

Tip #2

Q: Would you build a house without plans?

- Detailed Horizon Assortment Planning makes decision making easier
- Plans are the foundation – allows change, yet recognizing that the further along you go – costs rise
- Allows identification and removal of potential item “losers”
- Conscientious planning makes forecasting and timing of buys more accurate*

30-40% of backorders are caused by bad (delivery) timing not bad forecasts

Inventory Spending Budget

- How many “Budget” cost of merchandise for a season or horizon?
- In the same way we budget and track?
 - Catalog costs
 - Cost per order
 - Advertising Expense
 - Payroll
 - Etc

Tip # 3

Graduate Degree (requires effort)

- Create an actual “line of credit” for merchandise costs
- Inventory Control becomes the “banker”
- Key Factors include: (at cost using initial margin targets)
 - Demand
 - Achievable Fill Rate/Cancel
 - Returns
 - Overstock Generated
 - Carryover

Inventory Spending Budget

- Line of credit will fluctuate (up or down) with demand
 - This will raise “overbuy flags” if demand is lowered as “available credit” is reduced
 - Ensures not spending same \$\$\$ twice
- By-Product: an overstock budget is created (which can also be tracked)

Summary

- Responsibility – Everyone shares the same goals
- Planning vs. Forecasting – Improves fill rates and customer service, allows decisions in advance
- Inventory Spending Budget – results in dramatic positive impact to cash flow and inventory turns

Guarantee

All three “TIPS” working together
will have overwhelming
positive results

Sample Assortment Plan (partial)

Description	This Year		This Year		TY	LY	TY	LY	This Year		TY	LY	TY	LY	TY	LY
	Items	%	Demand	%	Avg. Item		Perf. Factor		Space	%	Perf. Factor		Space Costs		Sell Ratio	
									(# of pages)							
Spring 06-d1			5500.0													
TOTAL DROP:	218	100.0%	5500.0	100.0%	25,229	21,999	1.00	1.00	56.00	100.0%	1.00	1.00	1485.0	1420.0	27.0%	29.7%
GIFTS	43 ^F	19.7%	1204.5	21.9%	28,012	27,670	1.11	1.26	11.50 ^F	20.5%	1.07	1.09	285.0	290.0	23.7%	28.3%
ART	12	5.5%	390.5	7.1%	32,542	27,225	1.29	1.24	3.00	5.4%	1.33	1.28	93.0	89.0	23.8%	27.2%
COLLECTIBLES	17	7.8%	440.0	8.0%	25,882	25,321	1.03	1.15	4.50	8.0%	1.00	0.83	109.0	103.0	24.8%	29.1%
CERAMIC	14	6.4%	374.0	6.8%	26,714	31,145	1.06	1.42	4.00	7.1%	0.95	1.34	83.0	98.0	22.2%	28.6%
HOME	54 ^F	24.8%	1353.0	24.6%	25,056	19,157	0.99	0.87	13.00 ^F	23.2%	1.06	1.01	389.0	351.0	28.8%	29.1%
STORAGE	25	11.5%	220.0	4.0%	8,800	5,776	0.35	0.26	6.00	10.7%	0.37	0.37	59.0	58.0	26.8%	30.4%
DOMESTICS	5	2.3%	440.0	8.0%	88,000	99,775	3.49	4.54	1.00	1.8%	4.48	4.68	123.0	117.0	28.0%	29.3%
KITCHEN/DINNERWARE	11	5.0%	192.5	3.5%	17,500	17,030	0.69	0.77	2.00	3.6%	0.98	0.67	56.0	48.0	29.1%	28.2%
GENERAL ACCESSORIES	3	1.4%	264.0	4.8%	88,000	56,750	3.49	2.58	1.00	1.8%	2.69	2.66	77.0	66.0	29.2%	29.1%
GLASSWARE	2	0.9%	110.0	2.0%	55,000	19,720	2.18	0.90	1.00	1.8%	1.12	1.16	35.0	29.0	31.8%	29.4%
STATIONARY	8	3.7%	126.5	2.3%	15,813	17,329	0.63	0.79	2.00	3.6%	0.64	0.71	39.0	33.0	30.8%	27.2%

A number of columns, including LY data, have been hidden to fit this visual

Sample Performance Factors

- Performance Index = (% of demand / % of items)
- Category Space Index = (% of demand / % of space)
- Sell Ratio = (Item percent of page * cost per page) / Projected item \$\$ demand in Offer
- All = (Projected Item \$\$ Demand in Campaign/ Number of items) / Average Item Demand

Inventory Metrics - Benchmarks

- Final Fill Rate (item)
 - Apparel – low/mid 90's
 - hard goods – mid/upper 90's
- Initial Fill Rate (item)
 - Approx 10 points lower than final target
- Average Inventory for \$25m business with a 3.5 turn s/b approx \$2.9 to \$3.2m*

Margin, import vs. domestic, seasonal peaks, etc. would impact average inventory